

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/632,741	08/01/2003	John Frederick Ackerman	RD-26408-5	3858	
75	90 07/13/2004		EXAMINER		
John S. Beulick			PERRIN, JOSEPH L		
Armstrong Teas	sdale LLP				
Suite 2600		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
One Metropolitan Square			1746		
St. Louis, MO	63102				
			DATE MAILED: 07/13/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applica	ation No.	Applicant(s)	-d
Office Action Summary		10/632	,741	ACKERMAN ET AL.	
		Examin	ner	Art Unit	
		Joseph	L. Perrin, Ph.D.	1746	
	The MAILING DATE of this communic	cation appears on	the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence addres	s
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIO nsions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commu- period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) of period for reply is specified above, the maximum state re to reply within the set or extended period for reply we reply received by the Office later than three months afted patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. f 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no nication. I days, a reply within the sutory period will apply and rill, by statute, cause the a	event, however, may a restatutory minimum of thirt d will expire SIX (6) MON application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this commur ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nication.
Status					
,	Responsive to communication(s) filed This action is FINAL . 2.1 Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice.	b)⊠ This action is or allowance exce	non-final. pt for formal matte	•	rits is
Dispositi	on of Claims				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>6-16</u> is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>6-16</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction	e withdrawn from o			
Applicati	on Papers				
10)	The specification is objected to by the The drawing(s) filed on is/are: Applicant may not request that any object Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the oath or declaration is objected to	a) accepted or ion to the drawing(s he correction is requ) be held in abeyan uired if the drawing(ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.	• •
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority d 2. Certified copies of the priority d 3. Copies of the certified copies or application from the Internation see the attached detailed Office action	ocuments have be ocuments have be f the priority docur al Bureau (PCT R	een received. een received in Apments have been dule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stag	l e
Attachmen	i(s)				
1) 🔯 Notic 2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔲 Inforr	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTo- nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or Province) r No(s)/Mail Date		Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152) `	i

Application/Control Number: 10/632,741

Art Unit: 1746

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35
 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this
 Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 6-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US 4,713,120 to Hodgens, II *et al.* (hereinafter "Hodgens").

Re claims 6-10, Hodgens discloses a gas turbine wash system (11) with a pump (compressor 14) connected to a nozzle (spray probe 20) and fluid reservoirs (12/13) (see col. 5, lines 3-14). Re claim 9, Hodgens further discloses injecting fluids from both reservoirs (12/13), which reads on applicant's limitation of the system being "configured to inject a first fluid and a second fluid..." (see col. 5, lines 44-55). Re claims 11 & 12, Hodgens teaches that it is known to clean internal parts of gas turbine engines including compressors (see col. 1, lines 53-64).

It is noted that the limitations directed to the types of fluids are considered intended use and given little weight in the apparatus claims. It has been held that a recitation with respect to the manner in which a claimed apparatus is intended to be employed does not differentiate the

Application/Control Number: 10/632,741

Art Unit: 1746

claimed apparatus from a prior art apparatus satisfying the claimed structural limitations. Ex parte Masham, 2 USPQ2d 1647 (1987). In the instant case, the claims language "said washing system configured to inject a first fluid and second fluid" merely requires the system to be capable of injecting the fluids since the fluids are not positively recited limitations in the apparatus. Thus, further limitations directed to the fluids are given little weight and only require the apparatus to be capable of holding/using such fluids (which Hodgens clearly discloses, as noted above). Claims directed to apparatus must be distinguished from the prior art in terms of structure rather than function. In re Danly, 263 F.2d 844, 847, 120 USPQ 528, 531 (CCPA 1959). "[A]pparatus claims cover what a device is, not what a device does." Hewlett-Packard Co. v. Bausch & Lomb Inc., 909 F.2d 1464, 1469, 15 USPQ2d 1525, 1528 (Fed. Cir. 1990). (emphasis in original) It has further been held that the recitation that an element is "capable of" performing a function is not a positive limitation but only requires the ability to so perform. It does not constitute a limitation in any patentable sense. In re *Hutchison*, 69 USPQ 138.

Similarly re claims 12-14, since the fluid limitations are directed to intended use (*i.e.* "for injecting a fluid"), the limitations directed to the configuration of the fluids is considered intended use and given little weight.

Re claims 15-16, these claims are directed to intended use (*i.e.* when the fluid is injected during a cleaning/treating operation) and given

Application/Control Number: 10/632,741

Art Unit: 1746

little patentable weight. A recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim. See *In re Casey*, 152 USPQ 235 (CCPA 1967) and *In re Otto*, 136 USPQ 458, 459 (CCPA 1963).

Recitation of Hodgens reads on applicant's claimed invention.

3. Claims 6-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US 4,059,123 to Bartos *et al.* (hereinafter "Bartos").

Similar to Hodgens cited above, Bartos discloses the claimed structure of a turbine engine cleaning machine (10) including a pump (compressor 14), fluid reservoirs (18/20/22/24), and nozzle manifold (96) (see Figures 1, 2, 6, and relative associated text). The intended use of types of fluids used and operation of the apparatus are given little weight (see above), and thus since the apparatus of Bartos is capable of performing such intended use, the apparatus of Bartos reads on applicant's claimed apparatus.

Art Unit: 1746

Conclusion

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:

US 5,899,217 to Testman, Jr., which discloses a turbine cleaning system with a reservoir and recirculation means;

US 5,273,395 to McDermott, which discloses a spray cleaning apparatus for cleaning gas turbines.

- 5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph L. Perrin, Ph.D. whose telephone number is (571)272-1305. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:00-4:30, except alternate Fridays.
- 6. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael E. Barr can be reached on (571)272-1414. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 1746

7. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Joseph L. Perrin, Ph.D.

Examiner Art Unit 1746

jlp